

)	
Highsteppin' Productions, LLC,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No.
)	09-12208-NMG
George Porter, Jr., David)	
Russell Batiste, Jr., Brian)	
Stoltz, et al.)	
Defendants.)	
)	

GORTON, J.

On January 26, 2010, after convening several hearings, the Court entered a Preliminary Injunction requiring the Artist Defendants to place into escrow the proceeds of publishing rights, performance fees, recording fees and funds earned as musicians or arising out of their musical careers. On April 13, 2010, the plaintiff to have the Artist Defendants held in

contempt, alleging that they failed to account for the fees they had earned in accordance with the terms of the injunction. Since that time, the parties have remained in substantial disagreement as to the amount of earnings of the Artist Defendants to be placed in escrow.

At a motion hearing on April 20, 2010, the Court called upon the parties to reach a mutually acceptable escrow arrangement and to submit promptly a proposed order reflecting that arrangement. The parties have been unable to do so, and have instead submitted competing proposals.

The plaintiff's proposal, in its most current form, distinguishes between two kinds of performances, i.e., "entity gigs" (wherein the Artist has an ownership stake in the performance group) and "non-entity gigs" (wherein the Artist performs by himself or as a "sideman"). The proposal imposes a graduated tier of escrow percentages (ranging from 30% to 50%) based on the amount of gross income the Artist generates at each gig.

Defendant Porter rejects the plaintiff's proposal and instead moves the Court to adopt an arrangement whereby he would escrow 30% of his net income from "entity gigs" and 30% of his gross income from "non-entity" gigs. Porter contends that the use of gross earnings for entity gigs is economically unworkable because it does not account for gig-related expenses such as travel, hotels, meals and other band members' salaries. Porter

suggests that the rubric proposed by the plaintiff (under which he would be required to escrow 50% of his gross earnings from his highest-paying gigs) would be punitive and would require him to escrow more funds than he is entitled to.

Although the Court is sympathetic to Porter's financial situation, his counter-proposal, which is based on a net earnings calculus, is impractical and likely to lead to accounting quagmires. Thus, rather than adopting a framework based on net earnings, the Court will require that Porter escrow 25% of his gross income for both entity and non-entity gigs, regardless of the amount generated at each gig.

Stoltz, who had originally joined Porter's counter-proposal, has now accepted the plaintiff's proposal and filed a notice of compliance therewith.

The third Artist Defendant, Batiste, has given no indication, to the Court or his counsel, as to whether he agrees with the plaintiff's proposal. His counsel, Ronnie Penton ("Att'y Penton"), has reported that he has attempted to contact Batiste on numerous occasions without success. In light of Batiste's failure to respond to his communications, Att'y Penton has moved to withdraw as his counsel of record as soon as Batiste is able to retain successor counsel.¹

¹ Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5.2(c), Att'y Penton has notified Batiste of his intent to withdraw and advised him to retain new counsel.

In sum, with respect to Stoltz, who has consented to the plaintiff's proposal, and Batiste, who has voiced no objection thereto, the plaintiff's proposed Preliminary Injunction will be adopted with several minor modifications. With respect to Porter, however, the plaintiff's proposed escrow percentages will be modified to reflect Porter's reasonable objections. The resultant order is manifested in the Modified Preliminary Injunction attached hereto.

The Modified Preliminary Injunction is not applicable to defendant Live Nation, Inc. which was dismissed from the case without prejudice on April 20, 2010.

ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing,

- 1) Because the Artist Defendants have made a good faith effort to negotiate an escrow arrangement, Plaintiff's Motion for Finding of Contempt (Docket No. 51) is **DENIED** without prejudice.
- 2) Defendant Porter's Motion to Set Applicable Escrow Percentages (Docket No. 66) is **ALLOWED**, in part, and **DENIED**, in part, and the pending Preliminary Injunction is hereby amended as set forth in the Modified Preliminary Injunction attached hereto.
- 3) Att'y Penton's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record

for David Russell Batiste (Docket No. 74) is taken under advisement. Pursuant to Local Rule 83.5.2(c), Att'y Penton is directed to continue to represent Batiste until successor counsel enters an appearance on his behalf or a reasonable amount of time elapses after which Atty Penton's motion may be allowed with or without the appearance of replacement counsel.

So ordered.

/s/ Nathaniel M. Gorton
Nathaniel M. Gorton
United States District Judge

Dated June 23, 2010

Publisher Information

Note* This page is not part of the opinion as entered by the court.

**The docket information provided on this page is for the benefit
of publishers of these opinions.**

1:09-cv-12208-NMG Highsteppin' Productions, LLC v. Porter et al

Nathaniel M. Gorton, presiding

Date filed: 12/29/2009

Date of last filing: 06/23/2010

Attorneys

Jeffrey S. Baker Baker & Associates 2 representing Highsteppin' Productions, LLC 240A Elm
West Hill Place Suite 100 Boston, MA Street Suite 20 Somerville, MA 02144
02114 617-573-9505 617-573-9503 (fax) 6175739505 bakerlaw@aol.com
Bakerlaw@aol.com Assigned: 12/29/2009 (Plaintiff)

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE

NOTICED
Charles A. Gelinas, Sr. Gelinas & Ward, representing George Porter, Jr. 3013 Pine Street New
LLP 106 Merriam Avenue Leominster, MA Orleans, LA 70125 (Defendant)
01453 978-537-2200
bhennemann@gelinasandward.com

Assigned: 01/28/2010 ATTORNEY TO BE

NOTICED

David Russell Batiste, Jr. (Defendant)
Porter Batiste Stoltz, LLC 3103 Pine
Street New Orleans, LA 70125
(Defendant)
Brian Stoltz 36325 Jefferson Street

Eric M. Gold Greenberg Traurig LLP One representing
International Place Boston, MA 02110

617-310-5226 617-897-0936 (fax)

golde@gtlaw.com Assigned: 03/03/2010

LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE

NOTICED

Patrick M. Groulx Polis Legal 55 Station representing
Landing Unit 601W Medford, MA 02155

978-549-3124 7813960819 (fax)

pgroulx@polislegal.com Assigned:

12/30/2009 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

David A. Herlihy Law Office of David representing

Herlihy 14 Staniford Street Newton, MA

02466 617-964-4006 617-964-4016 (fax)

david@herlihylaw.com Assigned:

04/22/2010 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Ronnie Glynn Penton The Penton Law representing

Firm 209 Hoppen Place Bogalusa, LA

70427 985-732-5651

fedcourtmail@rgplaw.com Assigned:

02/01/2010 LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY

TO BE NOTICED

John O. Pieksen, Jr. John Pieksen & representing

Slidell, LA 70460 (Defendant)
Live Nation, Inc. 9348 Civic Center Drive

Beverly Hills, CA 90210 (Defendant)

Highsteppin' Productions, LLC 240A Elm
Street Suite 20 Somerville, MA 02144

6175739505 bakerlaw@aol.com

(Plaintiff)

Highsteppin' Productions, LLC 240A Elm

Street Suite 20 Somerville, MA 02144

6175739505 bakerlaw@aol.com

(Plaintiff)

David Russell Batiste, Jr. (Defendant)

Porter Batiste Stoltz, LLC 3103 Pine
Street New Orleans, LA 70125

(Defendant)

Brian Stoltz 36325 Jefferson Street

Slidell, LA 70460 (Defendant)
George Porter, Jr. 3013 Pine Street New

Orleans, LA 70125 (Defendant)
George Porter, Jr. 3013 Pine Street New

Associates, LLC 829 Baronne Street New
Orleans, LA 70113 (504)581-9322

jpieksen@cox.net Assigned: 02/01/2010

LEAD ATTORNEY PRO HAC VICE

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Joseph R. Valle, Jr. Riemer & Braunstein representing

LLP 3 Center Plaza 6th Floor Boston, MA

02108 617-880-3429 617-880-3456 (fax)

JVALLE@RIEMERLAW.com Assigned:

01/20/2010 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Orleans, LA 70125 (Defendant)

Bugaloo Music 7750 Sunset Blvd. Los
Angeles, CA 90046 (Defendant)

Bug Music 7750 Sunset Blvd. Los
Angeles, CA 90046 (Defendant)